

Best Value Performance Indicators 2005 -2006 A Comparison of Brent's Council's Performance Indicators

PRU 06/07 17 Executive 12 March 2007

POLICY & REGENERATION UNIT LONDON BOROUGH OF BRENT

Tel: (020) 8937 1030 Fax: (020) 8937 1050

Introduction

It is a statutory requirement that all council's must be measure their performance. This document provides information on the way that the Council has performed for the year 2005/06 compared against designated Audit Commission family and neighbouring boroughs.

The boroughs which appear in this digest are:

Brent	Camden	Ealing	Hammersmith & Fulham	Harrow	Lambeth	Waltham Forest
Barnet	Croydon	Enfied	Haringey	Hounslow	Lewisham	Westminster

Digest key:

The digest shows performance:



Indicators classified as top quartile - (shown as best performing quartile)



Indicators classified as bottom quartile (shown as poor performance quartile)



Indicators in this range fall between upper and lower quartiles are classified as median range

- Indicator averages for all London Boroughs (where applies), inner, outer London, Audit Commission family and neighbours
- Performance history since 2001
- Direction of travel showing where performance has improved, fallen or not changed since last year

Direction of travel summary

	Central Units	Children & Families	Environment & Culture	Finance & Corporate Resources	Housing & Community Care	Total of indicators which have:	All indicator year on year direction of travel
Improved 2004/05	7	20	14	11	9	61	
Improved 2005/06	8	13	13	6	10	50	•
Direction of travel	^	Ψ	Ψ	V	1		
No Change 2004/05	4	4	4	0	5	17	
No Change 2005/06	3	1	8	1	7	20	^
Direction of travel	¥	Ψ	^	1	↑		_
Gone down 2004/05	3	5	10	1	6	27	
Gone down 2005/06	4	4	9	3	1	19	↑
Direction of travel	¥	Ψ	¥	V	1		_
N/A 2004/05	2	4	9	1	7	23	N/A = New
N/A 2005/06	6	4	19	6	6	41	PI or where no ranking
Direction of travel	^	-	^	1	Ψ		possible
Service area indicator totals 2004/05	18	33	37	13	27	128	
Service area indicator totals 2005/06	20	22	49	15	23	129	T

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDIC PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ✓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
	I				AL UNITS					
2B			TY PERFORMANCE	<mark>AGAINST RA</mark>	CE EQUALITI	ES PRIORITI	ES AND OUTCOM			_
	Lewisham 95	Brent 84	K & C 68					2004/05	84	
	Westminster 94	Harrow 84	Hounslow 68					2003/04	53	
	Haringey 90	Croydon 84	Barnet 68	79	80	78	79	2002/03	N/A	
	Waltham Forest 89	Enfield 84	H & F 63	, ,	00	70	,,	2002/03 N/A 2001/02 N/A	N/A	
		Camden 81	Lambeth 63							
		Ealing 72								
11a	PERCENTAGE OF T	OP 5% OF LOCAL A	UTHORITY EARNERS	THAT ARE W	OMEN					
	Haringey 55.88	Enfield 47.30						2004/05	43	1
	Lewisham 54.90	Hounslow 46.50						2003/04	48	
	Barnet 49.97	Ealing 46.47						2002/03	N/A	
	Camden 47.70	Croydon 44.00		41.39	40.56	42.97	43.29	2001/02	45	
		Brent 43.94								
		Waltham Forest 42.31								
		Lambeth 39.86								
		H & F 38.76			_	_				

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDICATOR PROGRESS		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFORMANCE HISTORY		DIRECTION OF
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OK I	TRAVEL
					AL UNITS					
11b	PERCENTAGE OF T	OP 5% OF LOCAL A	UTHORITY EARNERS	THAT ARE FR	OM BLACK OF	ETHNIC MIN	ORITIES			
	Lambeth 27.81	Harrow 13.67	K & C 6.47					2004/05	16.26	1
	Haringey 21.05	Barnet 13.16	Westminster 3.97					2003/04	0.17	
	Brent 18.67	Ealing 13.13						2002/03	0.16	
	Waltham Forest 17.17	Croydon 13.00		11.86	14.75	10.61	14.21	2001/02	N/A	
	Camden 16.12	Hounslow 12.70								
	Lewisham 15.28	H & F 11.45								
		Enfield 9.45								
11C	PERCENTAGE OF T	OP 5% OF LOCAL A	UTHORITY EARNERS	THAT HAVE A	DISABILITY					
	Lewisham 9.27	Barnet 3.68	Harrow 2.48					2004/05	NEW	NEW
	Lambeth 7.81	Ealing 3.03	Westminster					2003/04		
	Hounslow 7.50	H & F 3.01	K & C 2.13					2002/03		
	Croydon 6.00	Enfield 2.80	Waltham Forest 1.42	3.58	3.41	3.65	4.05	2002/03		
	Brent 4.71		Camden 0.43							
	Haringey 4.06									

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	BOROUGHS	INDIO PROG	KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change					
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
			-	CENTR	AL UNITS					
12	THE NUMBER OF W	VORKING DAYS/SH	IFTS LOST DUE TO S	ICKNESS/ABS	ENCE: LOCAL	AUTHORITY E	MPLOYEES	1		
	Barnet 7.15	Westminster 8.53	Harrow 10.08					2004/05	7.30	^
	Brent 7.18	Croydon 8.70	Waltham Forest 10.33					2003/04	8.06	
	Hounslow 7.27	Enfield 8.92	Haringey 10.37						11.40	
		Camden 9.92	H & F 10.38	8.99	9.33	8.86	9.15		12.10	
		Ealing 9.41								
		Lambeth 9.66								
		Lewisham 9.96								
		K & C 9.99								
14	PERCENTAGE OF E	ARLY RETIREMENT	S FOR ALL STAFF IN	TOTAL WORK	FORCE					
	Westminster 0.07	Ealing 0.31	Camden 0.66					2004/05	0.14	^
	Haringey 0.09	Waltham Forest 0.33	Harrow 0.77					2003/04	0.22	
	Brent 0.13	K & C 0.48	Lambeth 0.77	0.45	0.61	0.35	0.51	2002/03	0.25	
	Hounslow 0.15	Barnet 0.59	Croydon 0.90					2001/02 0.	0.25	
	Enfield 0.15		Lewisham 1.10	1.10						
			H & F 1.13							

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDICATOR PROGRESS		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFORMANCE HISTORY		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
				CENTR	AL UNITS					
15	THE PERCENTAGE (<mark>OF ILL HEALTH RET</mark>	IREMENTS FOR ALL	STAFF IN TOT	AL WORKFOR	CE		ı		
	Westminster 0.02	Ealing 0.18	Camden 0.27					2004/05	0.20	1
	H & F 0.02	Hounslow 0.19	K & C 0.29					2003/04	0.30	
	Haringey 0.13	Enfield 0.19						2002/03	0.62	
	Brent 0.14	Lambeth 0.23		0.23	0.24	0.22	0.18	2001/02	0.87	
	Lewisham 0.15	Harrow 0.25								
	Croydon 0.15									
	Waltham Forest 0.17									
	Barnet 0.17									
16a	THE PERCENTAGE (OF LOCAL AUTHOR	ITY EMPLOYEES WIT	<mark>TH DISABILITI</mark>	ES	T	,	ı		
	Lambeth 9.56	Ealing 3.98	Barnet 1.86					2004/05	1.26	1
	Croydon 9.20	Haringey 3.77	Westminster 1.71					2003/04	1.20	
	Lewisham 7.65	H & F 3.39	Camden 1.42					2002/03	3.90	
	Hounslow 4.59	Waltham Forest 2.85		3.64	3.84	3.47	4.12	2001/02 2.70		
	Brent 4.09	Enfield 2.68								
		Harrow 2.52								
		K & C 2.45								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
				CENTR	RAL UNITS					
16b	THE PERCENTAGE	OF ECONOMICALLY	ACTIVE DISABLED	PEOPLE IN TH	E AUTHORITY	AREA (QUART	TILE RANKING DOES	S NOT APPLY	")	
	Barnet 17.41	Enfield 13.07	Westminster 11.82					2004/05	13.29	=
	Camden 13.90	Lewisham 13.00	H & F 11.80					2003/04	13.29	
	Waltham Forest 13.86	Hounslow 12.68	Croydon 11.80	12.92	13.42	12.61	12.84	2002/03	13.29	
	Haringey 13.71	Ealing 12.31	Harrow 10.70					2001/02	n/a	
	Brent 13.29	Lambeth 12.12]						
17a	THE PERCENTAGE	OF LOCAL AUTHOR	ITY EMPLOYEES FRO	M ETHNIC MII	NORITIES					
	Brent 49.1	Camden 33.8	Enfield 18.1					2004/05	47.1	1
	Haringey 44.6	Harrow 32.3]				2003/04	45.8	
	Lambeth 44.3	H & F 31.9						2002/03	53.2	
	Ealing 35.4	Lewisham 29.1						2001/02	53.4	
	Waltham Forest 35.3	Croydon 28.0		26.2	33.2	22.7	32.3			
		K & C 27.7								
		Westminster 27.0								
		Hounslow 25.5								
		Barnet 22.4								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDICATOR PROGRESS		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OIC I	TRAVEL
				CENTR	PAL UNITS					
17b	THE PERCENTAGE (APPLY)	OF THE ECONOMIC	ALLY ACTIVE POPULA	ATION FROM I	ETHNIC MINOF	RITIES IN THE	LOCAL AUTHOIRTY	(QUARTILE	RANKING	DOES NOT
	Barnet 86.00	Waltham Forest 34.3	Camden 24.40					2004/05	53.10	1
	Brent 53.10	Lewisham 33.00	Enfield 23.00					2003/04	53.10	
	Harrow 42.70	Haringey 31.40	K & C 20.70	28.25	26.6	29.2	34.4	2002/03	53.14	
	Ealing 41.10	Croydon 29.90	H & F 19.60					2001/02	N/A	
	Hounslow 35.00	Westminster 25.90	Lambeth 16.50							-
126	DOMESTIC BURGLA	ARIES PER 1,000 H	OUSEHOLDS							
	Westminster 15.2	K & C 17.2	Brent 25.60					2004/05	24.60	•
	Croydon 16.4	Harrow 19.30	Camden 25.90					2003/04	28.13	
		Hounslow 20.80	Ealing 27.10					2002/03	27.33	
		Barnet 20.80	Haringey 28.30	19.5	22.0	18.8	22.1	2001/02	29.00	
		Waltham Forest 20.70	H & F 29.7	17.5	22.0	10.0	ZZ. 1			
		Enfield 20.70								
		Lewisham 21.11								
		Lambeth 22.40								

QΠ	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDICATOR PROGRESS		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
				CENTR	AL UNITS					•
127b	ROBBERIES PER 1,	000 POPULATION	I	<u> </u>		T		,		
	Barnet 3.80	Hounslow 4.00	Lewisham 8.70					2004/05	7.70	Ψ
		Harrow 4.10	Brent 8.88					2003/04	6.63	
		K & C 4.90	Haringey 9.00					2002/03 10.23		
		Enfield 5.20	Waltham Forest 10.30	7.0	10.6	4.9	9.1	2001/02 12.20	12.20	
		Croydon 5.40	Lambeth 11.20]						
		Camden 5.80	Westminster 41.80							
		Ealing 6.60								
		H & F 7.00								
128	VEHICLE CRIMES P	ER 1,000 POPULAT	ION							
	Croydon 13.2	Hounslow 19.90	Ealing 21.30					2004/05	15.90	V
	Harrow 13.2	Barnet 19.30	Waltham Forest 21.60					2003/04	26.50	
	Enfield 15.4	Westminster 18.60	Haringey 22.20					2002/03	26.19	
		Brent 18.00	H & F 23.00	18.8	20.8	17	18.2	2001/02 26.30	26.30	
		Lambeth 17.00								
		Lewisham 17.00					[
		K & C 16.90								
		Camden 16.70								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WITH	1 OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDICATOR PROGRESS		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		Δ	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OK I	TRAVEL
	·				PAL UNITS					
174	THE NUMBER OF R	ACIAL INCIDENTS R	RECORDED PER 100,	000 POPULAT	ION	ı	1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		ı
	K & C 20.60	Haringey 64.70	Brent 192.00					2004/05	309*	N/A
	Croydon 26.00	Lambeth 106.3						2003/04	8	
	Enfield 33.60	Waltham Forest 124.40						2002/03	12	
	Ealing 36.70	Harrow 129.40		N/A	122.4	103.2	88.1	2001/02	21	
	H & F 39.6	Barnet 131.00						*Brent's co	ollection r	nethod for
	Lewisham 40.9	Hounslow 148.40						this period not incider		eport cases ection of
	Westminster 54.80	Camden 172.80						travel pos	sible	
175		OF RACIAL INCIDEN	ITS THAT RESULTED	IN FURTHER	ACTION					
	Brent 100	Ealing 99.11						2004/05	100%	1
	Lewisham 100	Barnet 96.96						2003/04		_
	K & C 100	Camden 94.90						2002/03	Not	
	Hounslow 100	Westminster 88.90						2001/02	collected	
	H & F 100	Harrow 60.81						This indica	tor is bas	ed on the
	Croydon 100			97.86	98.12	97.59	96.05	action take		•
	Waltham Forest 100							as further	rea is counted	
	Lambeth 100									
	Haringey 100									
	Enfield 100									

QU	ARTILE POSITION	COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS		CATOR GRESS	KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY		RMANCE FORY	DIRECTION OF
	*		_	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE			TRAVEL
				CENTR	AL UNITS					
225	ACTIONS AGAINST	DOMESTIC VIOLE	NCE. % ACTIONS LA	UNDERTAKES	S AGAINST DO	LG CHECK LIS	ST. (QUARTILE RAN	KING DOES	NOT APPLY	()
	Croydon 92.00	Enfield 81.80	H & F 72.70					2004/05	Amended	N/A
	Haringey 91.00	Ealing 81.80	Lambeth 72.70					2003/04	N/A	
	Waltham Forest 82.00	Westminster 81.70	Hounslow 72.70	N/A	78	85	78.8	2002/03	N/A	
	Camden 81.80	Brent 81.00	Lewisham 72.70					2001/02	N/A	
	Barnet 81.80	Harrow 73.00	K & C 63.60							
226A	TOTAL SPEND IN C	OMMUNITY LEGAL	SERVICES ADVICE A	ND GUIDANCI	E (£) (QUART	ILE RANKING	DOES NOT APPLY)		•	
	Haringey 769.00	Lambeth 885,648.00	K & C 1978,248.00					2004/05	Amended	N/A
	Croydon 1153.00	Barnet 915,000.00	Camden 3119,655.00					2003/04	N/A	
	Hounslow 410,200.00	Westminster 931,848.00	Lewisham not reported	N/A	1149645	695224	943482	2002/03	N/A	
	Ealing 490,508.00	Waltham Forest 14449,644.00	Harrow not reported	19/75	1147043	075224	743402	2001/02	N/A	
	Brent 648,310.00	Enfield 1600,082.00								
	H & F 777,682.00									

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS		CATOR GRESS	KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY		RMANCE TORY	DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE			TRAVEL
				CENTR	RAL UNITS					
226B	THE PERCENTAGE	OF AUTHORITY EXF	PENDITURE ON COMM	MUNITY LEGAL	SERVICES CL	S QUALITY M.	ARK (QUARTILE RA	ANKING DO	ES NOT APP	PLY)
	Brent 100.00	Hounslow 85.62	K & C 78.58					2004/05	Amended	N/A
	Haringey 100.00	Lambeth 84.76	Barnet 67.00					2003/04	N/A	
	H & F 100.00	Waltham Forest 84.26	Enfield 32.22	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2002/03	N/A	
	Camden 99.00	Croydon 82.00	Lewisham not reported					2001/02	N/A	
	Westminster 90.00	Ealing 80.08	Harrow not reported							
226C	TOTAL SPEND IN C	COMMUNITY LEGAL	SERVICES DIRECT PI	ROVISION (£)	(QUARTILE R	ANKING DOES	S NOT APPLY)			
	Waltham Forest 4912,055.00	Ealing 860,474.00	Brent 143,334.50					2004/05	Amended	N/A
	Camden 2282,283.00	Lambeth 648,761.00	Croydon 2,246.00					2003/04	N/A	
	K & C 1524,960.00	Westminster 425,806.00	Lewisham not reported	1322719	1392625	1129147	948658	2002/03	N/A	
	H & F 1055,026.00	Barnet 333,050.00	Harrow not reported				 	2001/02	N/A	
	Hounslow 919,508.00	Enfield 175,704.00	Haringey not reported							

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDIC PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		_	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE			TRAVEL
					N & FAMILIE					
38	PERCENTAGE OF 1	5 YEAR OLDS IN SO	CHOOLS ACHIEVING	5 OR MORE G	CSE A* -	C		I		
	Barnet 63.1	Hounslow 57.6	Lewisham 49.4					2004/05	54.50	1
	K & C 61.8	Brent 57.5	Waltham Forest 49.1					2003/04	50.70	
	Harrow 61.3	Croydon 55	Haringey 48.5	54.8	50.9	57.2	55	2002/03	49.60	
	Ealing 59.5	Lambeth 53	Westminster 46.8	34.6	50.9	57.2	55	2001/02	44.80	
	H & F 59	Camden 51.9								
		Enfield 51.5								
39	PERCENTAGE OF 1	5 YEAR OLDS ACHI	EVING 5 OR MORE	GCSE A* - G		•		-		
	Ealing 92.2	Harrow 90.6	Camden 86.6					2004/05	88.90	1
	Brent 91.4	H&F 90	Westminster 86.3					2003/04	89.70	
	Hounslow 91.2	Enfield 89.8	Haringey 81.5					2002/03	90.40	
		Croydon 89.5		00.0	04.7	00.1	00.0	2001/02	97.60	
		Waltham Forest 89.3		88.8	86.7	90.1	88.8			
		Barnet 89								
		Lambeth 89								
		K & C 88.6								
		Lewisham 87.2								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
					N & FAMILIE	S				
40	PERCENTAGE OF P		LEVEL 4 OR ABOVE II	N KEY STAGE	2 MATHS	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
	K & C 81.4	Westminster 76.5	Camden 72.8					2004/05	74.00	V
	Barnet 81	Ealing 75.1	Lewisham 72.5					2003/04	72.00	
	Harrow 78	Hounslow 75	Croydon 72	74.16	71.7	75.7	74	2002/03	76.00	
		H & F 74	Waltham Forest 69	,	,	, , , ,		2001/02	72.60	
		Brent 73	Lambeth 68							
		Enfield 73	Haringey 68							
41	PERCENTAGE OF P	UPILS ACHIEVING I	EVEL 4 OR ABOVE II	N KEY STAGE	2 ENGLISH					
	K & C 84	Westminster 81.2	Lambeth 76					2004/05	78.00	-
	Barnet 84	Hounslow 79	Waltham Forest 73					2003/04	77.10	
	Harrow 82	H & F 79	Haringey 73					2002/03	74.90	
		Ealing 78.8						2001/02	74.50	
		Camden 78.5		78.9	76.8	80	78.6			
		Croydon 78								
		Brent 78								
		Lewisham 77								
		Enfield 77								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		_	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	"""		TRAVEL
				CHILDRE	N & FAMILII	ES				
43A	PERCENTAGE OF S	EN STATEMENTS IS	SSUED WITHIN 18 W	EEKS: EXCLU	DING THOSE A	AFFECTED BY	EXCEPTIONS TO THE	HE RULE'		
	Westminster 100	Harrow 99	K & C 97.1					2004/05	93.00	1
	H & F 100	Brent 98	Waltham Forest 96.4					2003/04	80.00	
	Barnet 100		Lewisham 94.3					2002/03	73.00	
	Camden 100		Croydon 94	97.9	99.3	96.9	97.8	2001/02	92.00	
	Ealing 100		Hounslow 88.8]	77.5	70.7	77.0			
	Lambeth 100]						
	Haringey 100									
	Enfield 100									
43B	PERCENTAGE OF S	EN STATEMENTS IS	SSUED WITHIN 18 W	EEKS: INCLUI	DING THOSE A	AFFECTED BY '	EXCEPTIONS TO TH	IE RULE'		
	Enfield 100	Waltham Forest 91	Brent 72					2004/05	66.00	1
	Camden 98.3	Croydon 86	K & C 67.6					2003/04	56.00	
	Harrow 98	Lambeth 85.5	Lewisham 61.6					2002/03	55.00	
	H & F 97	Haringey 85	Barnet 56.7	83.2	86.6	80.4	82.6	2001/02	58.00	
		Westminster 82.8								
		Hounslow 79.1								
		Ealing 78.3								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDIO PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ✓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		Δ	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	ПІЗІ	ORY	TRAVEL
				CHILDRE	N & FAMILIE	S		•		
45	PERCENTAGE OF H	IALF DAYS MISSED	DUE TO TOTAL ABSE	ENCE IN A) SI	ECONDARY SC	HOOLS:				
	Harrow 6.47	Ealing 7.09	Lewisham 8.4					2004/05	7.80	Ψ
	Hounslow 6.8	Westminster 7.20	H & F 8.5					2003/04	8.30	
	Brent 6.89	Lambeth 7.5	Camden 8.61					2002/03	7.80	
	Barnet 6.9	Waltham Forest 7.74	Haringey 8.63	7.66	7.93	7.49	7.59	2001/02	Amended	
	K & C 7.05	Enfield 7.81								
		Croydon 8.3								
46	PERCENTAGE OF H	IALF DAYS MISSED	DUE TO TOTAL ABSE	ENCE IN B) PI	RIMARY SCHO	OLS:				
	Harrow 5.37	Lewisham 5.8	H & F 6.3					2004/05	6.05	¥
	Hounslow 5.63	Barnet 5.8	K & C 6.31					2003/04	6.20	
	Ealing 5.72	Westminster 5.9	Haringey 6.41	E 00	4 20	5.82	4.00	2002/03	6.30	
		Enfield 5.91	Lambeth 6.5	5.98	6.28	5.82	6.08	2001/02	Amended	
		Waltham Forest 6.05	Camden 6.57							
		Croydon 6.1	Brent 6.90							

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDIC PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
		•		CHILDRE	N & FAMILIE	ES		•		
161	PERCENTAGE OF C	ARE LEAVERS IN E	DUCATION, TRAININ	G OR EMPLOY	MENT AGED 1	9		<u> </u>		
	Camden 100	Enfield 92	H & F 68					2004/05	76.00	1
	Croydon 100	Haringey 91	Hounslow 67					2003/04	58.50	
	Waltham Forest 100	Westminster 91	Barnet 50	0.84	0.9	0.8	0.88	2002/03	54.00	
	Lambeth 102	Lewisham 86		0.84	0.9	0.8	0.88	2001/02	31.00	
	Harrow 99	K & C 78								
	Brent 98	Ealing 78								
162	REVIEWS OF CHILE	D PROTECTION CAS	SES (RANKING SET A	S 100 ACROS	S THE INDICA	TOR)				
	Westminster 100		Lewisham 99					2004/05	97.00	1
	K & C 100		Haringey 99					2003/04	93.00	
	Hounslow 100		Brent 99					2002/03	91.00	
	H & F 100		Barnet 97					2001/02	89.20	
	Croydon 100									
	Waltham Forest 100			99.68	100	100	100			
	Camden 100									
	Ealing 100									
	Harrow 100									
	Lambeth 100									
	Enfield 100									

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDIC PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
		•	•	CHILDRE	N & FAMILIE	S				
163	ADOPTIONS OF CH	IILDREN LOOKED A	FTER							
	Westminster 9.99	Lewisham 9.3	H & F 4.6					2004/05	3.50	^
	Hounslow 9.8	Lambeth 6.8	Croydon 4.5					2003/04	4.40	
	Camden 9.4	Haringey 6.4	Barnet 4.3					2002/03	3.57	
		K & C 6.1	Waltham Forest 4.2	7.1	12	6.5	6.5	2001/02	4.50	
		Harrow 5.7								
		Ealing 5.5								
		Enfield 5.20								
		Brent 5.1								
181A	PERCENTAGE OF 1	4 YRS OLDS IN SCH	HOOLS ACHIEVING L	EVEL 5 OR AB	OVE IN KEY S	TAGE 3: A) EN	IGLISH			
	Barnet 82	Camden 76.27	Lewisham 66.20					2004/05	70.00	1
	Harrow 82	Westminster 76	Haringey 64					2003/04	64.00	
	K C 81.47	Hounslow 76						2002/03	64.00	
		Ealing 75.26						2001/02	N/A	
		Croydon 75		70.50	70.45	75 / 4	74.55			
		H&F 74		73.58	70.15	75.64	74.55			
		Waltham Forest 74								
		Brent 73								
		Enfield 72								
		Lambeth 71								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE		OK I	TRAVEL
				CHILDRE	N & FAMILII	ES		U		1
181B	PERCENTAGE OF 1	4 YRS OLDS IN SCI	HOOLS ACHIEVING L	EVEL 5 OR AE	OVE IN KEY S	TAGE 3: B) M	ATHS			
	Barnet 80	Ealing 72	Lambeth 65					2004/05	72.00	个
	Harrow 79	Enfield 72	Lewisham 64					2003/04	66.00	
	K & C 76	Camden 71	Haringey 61					2002/03	66.00	
	Brent 74	Westminster 71		71.00	66.44	73.73	71.35	2001/02	N/A	
	H & F 74	Hounslow 71								
		Croydon 71								
		Waltham Forest 67								
181C	PERCENTAGE OF 1	4 YRS OLDS IN SCI	HOOLS ACHIEVING L	EVEL 5 OR AE	OVE IN KEY S	TAGE 3: C) S	CIENCE			
	Barnet 74	Camden 67	Lewisham 58					2004/05	61.00	1
	Harrow 73	Westminster 66	Haringey 52	1				2003/04	60.00	_
	K & C 72	Hounslow 66						2002/03	60.00	
	H & F 70	Croydon 66						2001/02	N/A	
		Ealing 65		64.83	60.01	67.72	65.1			
		Brent 64								
		Enfield 64								
		Waltham Forest 60								
		Lambeth 59								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	N COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDIO PROG	ATOR RESS	KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	ПЗ	ORT	TRAVEL
	I	I		CHILDRE	N & FAMILII	ES		I.		
181D	PERCENTAGE OF 1	4 YRS OLDS IN SCI	HOOLS ACHIEVING LI	EVEL 5 OR AE	OVE IN KEY S	TAGE 3: D) IT	-			
	Barnet 71	H & F 66	Ealing 54					2004/05	55.00	1
	Enfield 68	Camden 65	Lambeth 53					2003/04	55.00	_
		Harrow 65	Westminster 48					2002/03	Not Reported	
		Waltham Forest						2001/02	N/A	
		Haringey 63		61.78	54.18	66.58	61.09			
		Croydon 62								
		K & C 59								
		Lewisham 59								
		Hounslow 59								
		Brent 58								
194A		1 YR OLD PUPILS A	CHIEVING LEVEL 5 C	R ABOVE IN	KEY STAGE 2:	A) ENGLISH	1			
	K & C 35	Camden 27	Lambeth 21					2004/05	25.00	T
	Barnet 34	Brent 26	Waltham Forest 20					2003/04	29.00	
	Westminster 28	Hounslow 26						2002/03	New	
	H & F 28	Ealing 26		27	25	28	27	2001/02	N/A	
	Harrow 28	Lewisham 26								
		Enfield 25								
		Haringey 25								
		Croydon 24								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OIC1	TRAVEL
					N & FAMILIE					
194B			CHIEVING LEVEL 5 C	OR ABOVE IN	KEY STAGE 2:	B) MATHS		<u> </u>		1
	Barnet 38	Hounslow 32	Lewisham 26					2004/05	32.00	Ψ
	K & C 36	Ealing 31	Lambeth 25					2003/04	27.00	
	Harrow 36	H & F 30	Haringey 25					2002/03	New	
		Enfield 30	Waltham Forest 24					2001/02	N/A	
		Westminster 30		30	26	32	30			
		Croydon 29								
		Brent 28								
		Camden 28								
197	CHANGE IN THE N	UMBER OF CONCEP	TIONS TO FEMALES I	UNDER 18						
	K & C -42.2	Camden -15.9	Lambeth -1.5					2004/05	15.9	^
	H & F -36.4	Waltham Forest - 15.6	Hounslow 5.3					2003/04	0.2	_
	Westminster - 34.5	Lewisham -12.3	Haringey 10					2002/03	N/A	
	Ealing -17.1	Croydon -6.8	Enfield 10.2	-5.39	-16.8	1.5	-5.2	2001/02	New	
			Brent 11.7							
			Harrow 24							
			Barnet 42.7							

ΟΠΊ	ARTILE POSITION	COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
				CHILDRE	N & FAMILIE	ES				
221A	PERCENTAGE OF YOUTH WORK IN L		D 13-19 ACHIEVING	: A RECORD C	OUTCOME COM	PARED TO TH	E PERCENTAGE OF	YOUNG PEO	PLE WHO F	PARTICIPATE IN
	Harrow 81	H & F 60	Barnet 15					2004/05	New	N/A
	Ealing 80	Lewisham 56	Haringey 15					2003/04	N/A	
	Westminster 73	Camden 52	Enfield 5					2002/03	N/A	
	Hounslow 64	Lambeth 49						2001/02	N/A	
		K & C 42		45	50	43	47			
		Waltham Forest 40								
		Croydon 38								
		Brent 37								
221B	PERCENTAGE OF YOU AREA	OUNG PEOPLE AGE	D 13-19 ACHIEVING	: AN ACCREDI	TED OUTCOM	E COMPARED	TO THE PERCENTAGE	GE OF YOUN	G PEOPLE '	WHO IN LA
	Westminster 73	Camden 14	Haringey 8					2004/05	New	N/A
	Ealing 43	Waltham Forest 13	Barnet 4					2003/04	N/A	
	Lewisham 38		Harrow 4					2002/03	N/A	
	K & C 22		Lambeth 2	20	20	19	19	2001/02	N/A	
	Hounslow 22		Enfield 2							
	Croydon 21		Brent 1							
	H & F 20									

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDIC PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ✓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		Δ	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
	I	•		CHILDRE	N & FAMILIE	S				
222A	EARLY EDUCATION	AND CHILDCARE	SETTINGS PROPORTI	ON: WHERE L	EADERS HAVE	A QUALIFICA	TION AT LEVEL 4 C	OR ABOVE		
	Lambeth 64	Waltham Forest 36	H & F 19					2004/05	New	N/A
	K & C 52	Ealing 35	Croydon 17					2003/04	N/A	
	Haringey 45	Hounslow 31	Enfield 16	29	32	26	28	2002/03	N/A	
	Camden 38	Barnet 20	Westminster 14	29	32	20	28	2001/02	N/A	
		Harrow 20	Brent 9							
			Lewisham 7							
222B	EARLY EDUCATION TEACHING OR CHI		SETTINGS PROPORTI	ON: THAT HA	VE INPUT FRO	M STAFF WITI	H GRADUATE OR PO	OST-GRADU	ATE QUALII	FICATIONS IN
	H & F 100	Westminster 93	Croydon 19					2004/05	New	N/A
	Barnet 100	Lambeth 76	Harrow 12					2003/04	N/A	
	Enfield 100	Waltham Forest 70	Lewisham 11					2002/03	N/A	
	Westminster 93	Camden 47	Brent 5	56	59	52	51	2001/02	N/A	
		Haringey 42								
		K & C 35]						
		Hounslow 29								
		Ealing 27								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDIC PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OK I	TRAVEL
			E	ENVIRONMI	ENT & CULT	URE				
82Ai	PERCENTAGE OF H	OUSEHOLD WASTE	ARISING WHICH HA	VE BEEN SEN	IT FOR RECYCI	_YING				
	Camden 22.24	Lambeth 18.96	Croydon 12.99					2004/05	8.75	1
	H & F 21.00	Barnet 17.98	Lewisham 11.96					2003/04	8.20	
	K & C 19.29	Westminster 17.79	Brent 10.89					2002/03	6.64	
		Enfield 16.92						2001/02	6.15	
		Haringey 16.08		16.16	16.5	15.86	16.29			
		Hounslow 15.74								
		Ealing 15.36								
		Harrow 13.66								
		Waltham Forest 13.44								
82aii	TOTAL TONNAGE C	L	CAL WASTE ARISING	SENT BY LA I	OR RECYCLIN	IG				
	Barnet 25,423.4	Camden 16,807.55	Waltham Forest 13,015.59					2004/05	New	N/A
	Enfield 19,421.2		Haringey 12,964.70					2003/04	N/A	
	Ealing 19,150.79	Westminster 14,138.26	H & F 12,627.00	15276.95	13934.31	16803.97	15843.86	2002/03	N/A	
	Croydon 19,150.79	Lewisham 13,888.96	Brent 12,019.00					2001/02	N/A	
	Lambeth 17,764.00	Harrow 13,850.00	K & C 11735.65							

QU	ARTILE POSITION	BOROUGHS	INDICATOR PROGRESS		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change					
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY		PERFORMANCE OF TRAIL	
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE			TRAVEL
			E	ENVIRONME	ENT & CULT	URE				
82Bi	TOTAL TONNAGE O	F HOUSEHOLD LO	CAL WASTE ARISING	PERCENTAGE	COMPOSTED					
	Harrow 13.04	Camden 4.90	K & C 0.65					2004/05	5.20	1
	Enfield 10.37	Ealing 3.92	Westminster 0.50	4.94				2003/04	0.90	
	Barnet 9.49	Hounslow 3.51	H & F 0.49		2.01		4.94	2002/03	New	
	Brent 9.12	Lambeth 3.19	Lewisham 0.24			6.94	4.74	2001/02	N/A	
	Waltham Forest 8.41	Croydon 3.18								
		Haringey 3.15								
82Bii	TOTAL TONNAGE O	F HOUSEHOLD LO	CAL WASTE ARISING	SENT BY LA F	OR COMPOST	ING				
	Barnet 13,422.58	Ealing 4,887.36	K & C 395.45					2004/05	New	N/A
	Harrow 13,312.00	Croydon 4,483.00	Westminster 395.30					2003/04	N/A	
	Enfield 11,898.99	Camden 3,681.38	H & F 294	F140.22	1757.05	7407.10	F2/F 4F	2002/03	N/A	
	Brent 10,058.00	Hounslow 3,703.29	Lewisham 282.02	5140.22	1756.95	7427.19	5365.45	2001/02	N/A	
	Waltham Forest 8,137.20	Lambeth 2,988.00								
		Haringey 2,543.12								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDICATOR PROGRESS		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE			TRAVEL
			E	ENVIRONMI	ENT & CULT	URE				
84a	KILOGRAMS OF HO	USEHOLD WASTE (COLLECTED PER PER	SON		T				
	K & C 330.50	Enfield 410.00	Lewisham 470.00	428.9	384.8 449.3			2004/05	438.50	1
	H & F 340.10	Croydon 411.00	Harrow 484.00					2003/04	424.00	
	Camden 348.10	Ealing 411.20	Hounslow 495.80			1193	403.2	2002/03	454.00	
	Lambeth 349.40	Brent 412.00				449.3	403.2	2001/02	453.00	
	Westminster 357.90	Barnet 432.80								
	Haringey 359.50	Waltham Forest 436.40								
84b	PERCENTAGE CHAP	NGE FROM THE PRE	VIOUS FINANCIAL Y	EAR IN THE N	UMBER OF KG	OF HOUSEHO	OLD WASTE COLLEC	TED PER HE	AD OF POF	PULATION
	Enfield -12.46	H & F -3.48	Lewisham 2.09					2004/05	New	N/A
	Camden -12.24	K & C -3.05	Haringey 2.16					2003/04	N/A	
	Barnet -8.18	Harrow -3						2002/03	N/A	
	Ealing -7.57	Hounslow -1.04		-2.18	-1.29	-4.48	-4.03	2001/02	N/A	
	Brent -6.03	Lambeth -0.88								
	Croydon - 6	Waltham Forest - 0.84								
		Westminster 0.01								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	BOROUGHS	INDICATOR PROGRESS		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ✓ fallen since last year → no change							
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF		
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKT	TRAVEL		
	ENVIRONMENT & CULTURE											
86	COST OF WASTE C	OLLECTION PER HO	OUSEHOLD									
	Enfield 34.50	Camden 43.49	Haringey 68.17					2004/05	57.87	Ψ		
	H & F 35.72	Croydon 44.45	Harrow 78.72					2003/04	61.69			
	Waltham Forest 4	Lewisham 47.06	Brent 81.60					2002/03	54.61			
	Hounslow 42.93	Barnet 47.35	Westminster 94.57	57.38	58.3	50.72	55.06	2001/02	51.68			
		Ealing 51.44										
		K & C 56.52										
91A	PERCENTAGE OF P	Lambeth 57.78 OPULATION SERVE	D BY A KERBSIDE CO	L DLLECTION OF	RECYCLABLE	S (ONE RECYC	CLABLE)					
	Lewisham 100	Lambeth 97	H & F 91.6					2004/05	81.30	1		
	K & C 100	Enfield 95	Ealing 91.2					2003/04	75.84	_		
	Waltham Forest 100		Brent 91					2002/03	72.84			
	Barnet 100		Westminster 83.1	93.9	95.6	92.6	93.6	2001/02	100.00			
	Camden 100		Harrow 80									
	Haringey 99		Croydon 76									
	Hounslow 98											

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDICATOR PROGRESS		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY		RMANCE TORY	DIRECTION OF TRAVEL
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	1113	IORI	
		1			ENT & CULT	URE		I.		
91B	KERBSIDE COLLEC	TION RECYCABLES	(TWO RECYCABLES)) T		T	T	1	T	Ī
	Lewisham 100	Lambeth 97.3	H & F 91.6					2004/05	Amended	N/A
	K & C 100	Enfield 95.7	Ealing 91.2					2003/04	N/A	
	Waltham Forest 100		Brent 91					2002/03	N/A	
	Barnet 100		Westminster 83.1	93.3	95	92	93.6	2001/02	N/A	
	Camden 100		Harrow 80							
	Haringey 99		Croydon 76							
	Hounslow 98.6									
99Ai	ROAD ACCIDENT C	ASUALTIES: NUMB	ER OF PEOPLE KILLE	D OR SERIOU	SLY INJURED	IN ROAD TRA	FFIC COLLISIONS	(ALL)		
	Harrow 83	K & C 105	Brent 155					2004/05	191.00	^
		Waltham Forest 105	Croydon 156					2003/04	66.82	_
		H & F 113	Lambeth 167					2002/03	73.02	
		Camden 131	Barnet 172	125	142	119	147	2001/02	83.90	
		Haringey 131	Enfield 173							
		Hounslow 134	Westminster 281]						
		Lewisham 147								
		Ealing 147								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	BOROUGHS	INDICATOR PROGRESS		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change					
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY		RMANCE ORY	DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKT	TRAVEL
		•	E	NVIRONMI	ENT & CULT	URE				
99Aii	ROAD ACCIDENT C	CASUALTIES: PERCE	ENTAGE CHANGE IN I	NUMBER OF C	ASUALTIES FF	ROM PREVIOUS	S YEAR KILLED/ SE	RIOUSLY IN	JURED (ALI	_)
	Croydon -32	Lambeth -24.8	H & F 0.9					2004/05	-4.95	\
	Haringey -31.4	Lewisham -21.4	Hounslow 2.3					2003/04	Amended	
	Ealing -25.8	Barnet -20.7	Harrow 4.8	-16.3 -16.2 -18 -16.1 2002/03 2001/02				2002/03	N/A	
		Brent -18.8			N/A					
		Waltham Forest		10.5	10.2	10	10.1			
		Westminster -								
		K & C -16								
		Enfield -11.3								
		Camden -11								
99Aiii	ROAD ACCIDENT C		ENTAGE CHANGE IN N	NUMBER OF C	ASUALTIES BE	ETWEEN MOST	CURRENT YEAR AI	ND AVERAG	E OF 1994 -	- 1998
	Ealing -48.8	K & C -38.6	Harrow -32					2004/05	-21.72	¥
	Camden -48	Waltham Forest - 38.2	Westminster -31.2					2003/04	Amended	
	Lambeth -46.6	Croydon -37	Lewisham -28.8	-38.2	-38	-38.5	-35.4	2002/03	N/A	
	Hounslow -40.7	Brent -36.5	Enfield -26.4			23.0	33.1	2001/02	N/A	
		Barnet -36	H & F -24.2							
			Haringey -18.4							

QU	ARTILE POSITION	BOROUGHS	INDICATOR PROGRESS		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change					
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR	RMANCE ORY	DIRECTION OF
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKT	TRAVEL
			ı	ENVIRONMI	ENT & CULT	URE		•		
99Bi	ROAD ACCIDENT C		ER OF PEOPLE KILLE	D OR SERIOU	SLY INJURED	IN ROAD TRAI	FIC COLLISIONS	(CHILDREN	UNDER 16	YRS)
	K & C 2	Waltham Forest 12	Croydon 19					2004/05	22	•
	H & F 9	Harrow 12	Ealing 19					2003/04	Amended	
	Camden 11	Westminster 14	Lambeth 19					2002/03	N/A	
			Haringey 19	14.67	13.00	16.00	17.00	2001/02	N/A	
			Enfield 22	14.07	13.00	16.00	17.00			
			Brent 23							
			Barnet 24							
			Hounslow 25							
			Lewisham 26							
99Bii	ROAD ACCIDENT C (CHILDREN UNDER		ENTAGE CHANGE IN	NUMBER OF C	ASUALTIES FF	ROM PREVIOUS	S YEAR KILLED/SEF	RIOUSLY IN.	IURED	
	K & C -71.4	Harrow -29	Enfield -4.3					2004/05	-4.35	1
	Waltham Forest -33.3	Croydon -21	Brent 4.5					2003/04	Amended	
		Haringey -20	Lewisham 18.2					2002/03	N/A	
		Westminster - 17.6	Camden 22	3.31	-5.50	-1.20	3.30	2001/02	N/A	
		Lambeth -9.5	Ealing 46.2						-	
		Barnet -7.7	H & F 80							
			Hounslow 92.3							

QU	ARTILE POSITION	BOROUGHS	INDICATOR PROGRESS		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change					
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY		RMANCE FORY	DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	ПЗ	ORI	TRAVEL
	•		E	NVIRONMI	ENT & CULT	URE				
99Biii			ENTAGE CHANGE IN I DREN UNDER 16 YRS		ASUALTIES BE	ETWEEN MOST	CURRENT YEAR AI	ND AVERAG	E OF 1994	- 1998
	K & C -81.8	H & F -51.1	Lewisham -37.2		-55.8			2004/05	-48	1
	Waltham Forest - 60	Brent -45.8	Enfield -33.3	-48.06				2003/04	Amended	_
	Lambeth -57.8	Ealing -45.7	Haringey -30.4			-45.8	-43.8	2002/03	N/A	
	Camden -56	Westminster - 38.1	Harrow -29					2001/02	N/A	
	Croydon -55		Barnet -22.6							
000:	DOAD ACCIDENT	CACHALTICS, VCL A	Hounslow -13.8	NULDV						
99Ci			LL PEOPLE: SLIGHT I	NJURY						
	Harrow 625	Hounslow 968	Croydon 1238					2004/05	1191	T
	K & C 636	Brent 1058	Lambeth 1248					2003/04	517.04	
	H & F 761	Lewisham 1110	Ealing 1264	010	999	004	1045.2	2002/03	565	
	Waltham Forest 790		Enfield 1276	910	799	886	1065.3	2001/02	568.8	
	Haringey 866		Barnet 1398							
	Camden 905		Westminster 1836							

QU	QUARTILE POSITION COMPARED WITH OUR AUDIT COMMISSIONS FAMILY & NEIGHBOURING BOROUGHS 2005 - 2006 BEST POOR ALL INNER OUTER								INDICATOR PROGRESS	
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE		ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY		RFORMANCE OF TRACE	
	*		Δ	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	ПІЗ	IORI	TRAVEL
				ENVI RONMI	ENT & CULT	URE		•		
99Cii	ROAD ACCIDENT O	CASUALTIES PERCE	NTAGE CHANGE IN	NUMBER OF C	ASUALTIES FR	OM PREVIOUS	S YEAR ALL SLIGHT	INJURY		
	Lambeth -17.9	Westminster - 11.5	Harrow 5					2004/05	-0.64	V
	Waltham Forest -16.8	Lewisham -11.3		-7.0 -1				2003/04	Amended	
	Ealing -16.1	K & C -11.3						2002/03	N/A	
	Hounslow -15.6	Brent -11.2						2001/02	N/A	
	Camden -12	H & F -4.4			-12.2	-4.8	-8.9			
		Enfield -4.1								
		Barnet -3								
		Haringey -3]						
		Croydon -1								
99Ciii	ROAD ACCIDENT O		ENTAGE CHANGE IN	NUMBER OF C	ASUALTIES M	OST CURRENT	YEAR AND AVERA	GE OF 1994	-98 CHILD	
	Camden -37	Waltham Forest - 23.2	Enfield -15.2					2004/05	-12.52	lacksquare
	K & C -36.7	Westminster -23	Haringey -14.5					2003/04	Amended	
	Lambeth -31.9	Brent -22.3	Harrow -14	1				2002/03	N/A	
	Hounslow -28.4	Ealing -21.7		-22.8	-25.4	-21.2	-23.4	2001/02	N/A	
	Croydon -24	Barnet -21.1]						
		Lewisham -20.1								
		H & F -18.2								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS		CATOR GRESS	KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		Δ	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
		•			ENT & CULT					
100	NUMBER OF DAYS	TEMPORARY TRAFF	IC CONTROLS IN PL	ACE ON TRAFF	FIC SENSITIVE	ROADS		T	T	
	Brent 0	Ealing 0.2	Lewisham 1.2					2004/05	0 DAYS	-
	Hounslow 0	Waltham Forest 0.4	Enfield 1.4					2003/04	0 DAYS	
	Croydon 0	H & F 0.5	Westminster 1.5	1.1	1.3	1.1	1	2002/03	0.44	
	Barnet 0	Harrow 0.6	Camden 3.9					2001/02	0.03	
	K & C 0	Haringey 0.6	Lambeth 4.6							
106	PERCENTAGE OF N	EW HOMES BUILT	ON BROWN FIELD SI	TES						
	Lewisham 100		Brent 98.77					2004/05	100	Ψ
	Westminster 100		Hounslow 99.80					2003/04	99.56	
	K & C 100							2002/03	89.52	
	H & F 100							2001/02	62.42	
	Croydon 100									
	Waltham Forest									
	100 Barnet 100			99.83	100	99.72	99.97			
	Camden 100									
	Ealing 100									
	Harrow 100									
	Lambeth 100									
	Haringey 100									
	Enfield 100									

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS		CATOR GRESS	KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY		RMANCE FORY	DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	1113	OKI	TRAVEL
		1		ENVIRONMI	ENT & CULT	URE		II.		
109A	PERCENTAGE OF M	AJOR PLANNING A	PPLICATIONS DETER	MINED WITHI	N 13 WEEKS	1		1		
	Barnet 89.21	Westminster 75	Lambeth 55.70					2004/05	64.74	1
	Haringey 86.05	Brent 67.69	Waltham Forest 51.28					2003/04	71.62	_
	Camden 85.96	K & C 65.78	Harrow 51.16					2002/03	Amended	
	H & F 77.14	Hounslow 65.28		67.32	64.23	68.98	67.63	2001/02	N/A	
		Enfield 62.34								
		Croydon 62.02								
		Ealing 60.26								
		Lewisham 59.62								
109B	PERCENTAGE OF	MINOR PLANNIN	G APPLICATIONS D	DETERMINED	WITHIN 8 W	/EEKS				
	K & C 86.66	Haringey 81.52	Westminster 72.80					2004/05	78.1	Ψ
	Camden 86.50	Barnet 78.63	Harrow 71.76					2003/04	74.69	
	Enfield 83.22	Brent 77.52	Waltham Forest 71.56					2002/03	Amended	
		Croydon 75.14	Lewisham 69.60	78.72	76.19	79.82	76.79	2001/02	N/A	
		H & F 75.00								
		Hounslow 74.50								
		Lambeth 74.40								
		Ealing 73.06								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS		CATOR GRESS	KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
вуы	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY		RMANCE FORY	DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
			E	NVIRONM	ENT & CULT	URE				
109C	PERCENTAGE OF O	THER PLANNING A	PPLICATIONS DETERI	MINED WITHI	N 8 WEEKS	1		1	ı	•
	Camden 92.64	Harrow 90.84	Hounslow 84.90					2004/05	88.23	Ψ
	K & C 92.16	H & F 89.91	Waltham Forest 84.85					2003/04	88.42	
	Haringey 91.59	Brent 88.70	Westminster 83.79	87.72	84.95	89.57	87.6	2002/03	Amended	
	Enfield 91.38	Lambeth 88.43	Ealing 80.85					2001/02	N/A	
		Barnet 87.33	Lewisham 79.93							
		Croydon 86.63								
165	THE PERCENTAGE	OF PEDESTRIAN CF	OSSINGS WITH FAC	ILITIES FOR I	DISABLED PEC	PLE				
	Brent 100	Lambeth 96.60	K & C 87.00					2004/05	100	1
	Hounslow 100	Enfield 94.80	H & F 85.90					2003/04	95.8	
	Waltham Forest 10	Barnet 93.00	Croydon 82.00					2002/03	80.99	
	Camden 100		Westminster 38.70	00.1	04.2	01.0	01.0	2001/02	75.85	
	Ealing 100			89.1	84.2	91.9	91.9			
	Harrow 100									
	Haringey 100									
	Lewisham 100									

QU	ARTILE POSITION	COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDIC PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		_	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	"""		TRAVEL
				ENVIRONMI	ENT & CULT	URE				
166A	SCORE AGAINST C	HECKLIST OF ENFO	RCEMENT BEST PRA	CTICE FOR E	NVIRONMENTA	L HEALTH				
	Brent 100	Waltham Forest 9	K & C 86.80					2004/05	100	-
	Hounslow 100	Ealing 94.50	Barnet 82.90					2003/04	80	
	H & F 100	Croydon 90.00	Lewisham 70.00					2002/03	80	
	Camden 100		Lambeth 57.50	94.0	90.6	96.7	91.9	2001/02	New	
	Harrow 100									
	Haringey 100									
	Westminster 100									
=	Enfield 100		NEODOEMENT DEO	T DDA OTLOG	FOR TRADIN	O OTANDADI				
166B		CHECKLIST OF E	<mark>NFORCEMENT BES</mark>	T PRACTICE	FOR TRADIN	G STANDARI)S	0004/05	100	l
	Brent 100		Barnet 90.00					2004/05	100	_
	K & C 100		Ealing 89.80					2003/04	100	
	Hounslow 100		Lewisham 73.00					2002/03	90	
	H & F 100		Lambeth 65.00					2001/02	New	
	Croydon 100 Waltham Forest									
	100			95.5	94.6	95.8	94.5			
	Camden 100									
	Harrow 100									
	Haringey 100									
	Westminster 100									
	Enfield 100									

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
	•	•		ENVIRONMI	ENT & CULT	URE				
170A		TS TO MUSEUMS	PER 1,000 POPUL	ATION: A) TO	OTAL VISITS					
	Waltham Forest 2,480	K & C 161	Brent 85					2004/05	89	Ψ
	Harrow 336	Enfield 135	Barnet 51					2003/04	91	
	Ealing 276	Hounslow 103	H & F 33	378	171	481	314	2002/03	71.45	
	Croydon 256		Westminster N/A	376	171	401	314	2001/02	58.65	
	Haringey 172		Camden N/A							
			Lambeth N/A							
			Lewisham N/A							
170C	NUMBER OF VISI	TS TO MUSEUMS	PER 1,000 POPULA	ATION: C) V	ISITS BY SCH	HOOL GROUP	S	1		T .
	Ealing 5661	Brent 5333	Barnet 2360					2004/05	3165	1
	Hounslow 5400	Haringey 4865	Enfield 1813					2003/04	929	
		Waltham Forest 3948	K & C 1162					2002/03	1150	
		Croydon 3617	Harrow 1155	5737	3328	3547	2785	2001/02	N/A	
			H & F 893							
			Westminster N/A							
			Camden N/A							
			Lambeth N/A							
			Lewisham N/A							

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDIO PROG	ATOR RESS	KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
		•	E	NVIRONMI	ENT & CULT	URE		•		
178	THE PERCENTAG	E OF TOTAL LENG	OTH OF FOOTPATHS	S AND OTHE	R RIGHTS OF	WAY WHICH	H WERE EASY TO	USE BY ME	MBERS O	F THE PUBLIC
	Brent 100	Hounslow 86.70	Ealing 84.20					2004/05	100	1
	Barnet 100		Croydon 64.10					2003/04	100	
	Harrow 100		Westminster not reported					2002/03	90.5	
	Waltham Forest 100		K & C not reported	88.0		88	92.2	2001/02	96.7	
	Haringey 99		H & F not reported							
	Enfield 96		Camden not reported							
			Lewisham not reported							
			Lambeth not reported							
179	PERCENTAGE OF	STANDARD SEAF	CHES CARRIED OL	JT IN 10 WC	RKING DAYS	;				
	Brent 100	Camden 99.81	Harrow 98.45					2004/05	100	-
	Westminster 100	Waltham Forest 9						2003/04	100	
	H & F 100		Hounslow 89.00					2002/03	99.99	
	Croydon 100		K & C 60.00	96.90	94.98	97.9	96.33	2001/02	99.1	
	Barnet 100			, , , , ,	,, 0	,,,,	75.55			
	Lambeth 100									
	Haringey 100									
	Lewisham 100 Enfield 100									

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDIO PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		Δ	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	Пізі	ORT	TRAVEL
					NT & CULT			_		
187	PERCENTAGE OF T	HE WORK CATEGOR	RISED FOOTWAY NE	TWORK WHER	E STRUCTURA	L MAINTENAN	CE SHOULD BE CO	NSIDERED		
	Lewisham 7	Harrow 15	Camden 34					2004/05	23	-
	Croydon 9	Enfield 15	Haringey 34					2003/04	27	
	K & C 10	Barnet 16	H & F 41					2002/03	16	
	Waltham Forest 11	Westminster 20	Lambeth 45	23	22.8	25	22.5	2001/02	New	
		Brent 23								
		Hounslow 26								
		Ealing 32								
199A	PERCENTAGE OF R	ELEVANT LAND ANI	D HIGHWAYS THAT F	ALL BELOW A	GRADE B FOR	R CLEANLINES	S			
	K & C 4.8	H & F 24	Ealing 36.5					2004/05	34	^
	Barnet 9	Waltham Forest 28	Croydon 37					2003/04	45	_
	Westminster 14	Lewisham 28	Haringey 37	25.7	22.2	20	24./	2002/03	New	
	Enfield 15.7	Brent 30	Hounslow 39	25.6	23.2	28	24.6	2001/02	N/A	
	Camden 17	Harrow 31								
	Lambeth 17									

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDIC PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
			E	ENVIRONMI	ENT & CULT	URE				
199B	PERCENTAGE OF R	ELEVANT LAND ANI	<mark>D HIGHWAYS FROM '</mark>	WHICH UNAC	CEPTABLE LEV	<mark>ELS OF GRAFF</mark>	ITI ARE VISIBLE	T T		
	K & C 1	Croydon 11	Camden 15					2004/05	New	N/A
	Westminster 6	Lewisham 14	Ealing 15					2003/04	N/A	
	Lambeth 6		Harrow 15					2002/03	N/A	
	Haringey 6		Brent 17	44	10	20	11	2001/02	N/A	
	Barnet 9		Hounslow 19	11	10	20	11			
	Enfield 9									
	H & F 10									
	Waltham Forest 10									
199C		ELEVANT LAND ANI	D HIGHWAYS FROM	WHICH UNAC	CEPTABLE LEV	ELS OF FLY-PO	OSTING ARE VISIBI	E		
	K & C 1	Westminster 2	Waltham Forest 4					2004/05	New	N/A
	Barnet 1	Croydon 2	Haringey 4					2003/04	N/A	
	Harrow 1	Camden 2	H&F 6					2002/03	N/A	
	Enfield 1	Lambeth 2	Hounslow 7	3	4	2	3	2001/02	N/A	
		Brent 3								
		Ealing 3								
		Lewisham 3								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OK I	TRAVEL
					NT & CULT			J.		
204	Camden 25.7	PPEALS AGAINST T Haringey 32.1	HE AUTHORITY'S DE	CISION TO RE	FUSE PLANNI	NG APPLICAT	IONS	2004/05	33.3	1
										' ∎'
	Lewisham 26.9	K & C 34 Waltham Forest	Barnet 38.1					2003/04	NEW	
	Brent 29.1	35.1	H & F 39	33.66	31.3	35.1	35.6	2002/03	N/A	
	Croydon 29.3	Lambeth 36.1	Harrow 50					2001/02	N/A	
	Westminster 32	Enfield 37.2	Ealing 52.1							
205	QUALITY OF SERVI	CE CHECKLIST – P	LANNING PERCENTAC	GE IMPLEMEN	TED		1	1		
	Brent 100	Lewisham 94.4	Croydon 88.9					2004/05	94.4	T
	Barnet 100	K & C 94.4	Waltham Forest 88					2003/04	NEW	
	Camden 100	Hounslow 94.4	Westminster 88	93.89	95.8	92.8	93.3	2002/03	N/A	
	Haringey 100	Lambeth 94.4	Harrow 83					2001/02	N/A	
	H & F 100	Enfield 94.4	Ealing 77							
215A	THE AVERAGE NUM	IBER OF DAYS TAK	EN TO REPAIR A STR	EET LIGHTING	G FAULT THAT	IS UNDER TH	E CONTROL OF THE	E LA		
	Camden 0.35	Brent 2.25	K & C 4.91					2004/05	NEW	N/A
	Enfield 1.15	Harrow 2.79	Ealing 5.01					2003/04	N/A	
	Croydon 1.17	Waltham Forest 3.7	Lewisham 6.24	4.63	5.8	4.2	4.9	2002/03	N/A	
	Haringey 1.92	Hounslow 3.2	H & F 6.8					2001/02	N/A	
		Lambeth 3.47	Westminster 7.6							
		Barnet 4.67								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OIC1	TRAVEL
					NT & CULT					
215B	THE AVERAGE TI NETWORK OPERA		PAIR A STREET LIC	SHTING FAUL	T WHERE RE	SPONSE TIM	IE IS UNDER CON	ITROL OF A	DNO (DI	STRIBUTION
	Enfield 7.74	H & F 20.21	Brent 30.54					2004/05	NEW	N/A
	Ealing 9.35	Waltham Forest 21.93	Lambeth 32.84					2003/04	N/A	
	Harrow 13.40	Haringey 21.96	Camden 32.94	26.18	29.2	25	21.4	2002/03	N/A	
	Barnet 16.98	K & C 25.88	Lewisham 38.77					2001/02	N/A	
	Hounslow 17.27	Croydon 27.06	Westminster 39.84							
216A	NUMBER OF SITES	OF POTENTIAL CO	NCERN IN THE LA AR	REA WITH RES	PECT TO LAN	O CONTAMINA	TION			
	Croydon 6658	Hounslow 545	Brent 230					2004/05	NEW	N/A
	Lewisham 1460	K & C 413	Enfield 178					2003/04	N/A	
	H & F 1181	Barnet 336	Haringey 168	867	951	860	943	2002/03	N/A	
	Ealing 1063	Camden 294	Westminster 100	607	701	800	743	2001/02	N/A	
	Waltham Forest 851		Lambeth 100							
	Harrow 563									

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDIC PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
	1	•	E	NVIRONMI	ENT & CULT	URE				
216B	NUMBER OF SITES	OF POTENTIAL CO	NCERN IN THE LA AR	REA WITH RES	SPECT TO LANG	O CONTAMINA	TION			
	Lambeth 100	Brent 7	Lewisham 3					2004/05	NEW	N/A
	Westminster 70	Enfield 7	Croydon 1					2003/04	N/A	
	Camden 36	H & F 6	Waltham Forest 1	21	27	13	20	2002/03	N/A	
	Hounslow 30	Haringey 5	Harrow 15	21	21	13	20	2001/02	N/A	
	Barnet 21		Ealing 0							
	K & C 10									
217	PERCENTAGE OF F	POLLUTION CONTRO	OL IMPROVEMENTS T	O EXISTING	INSTALLATION	IS COMPLETED	O ON TIME	l l		
	Brent 100		Camden 94					2004/05	NEW	N/A
	K & C 100		Waltham Forest 92					2003/04	N/A	
	Hounslow 100		Enfield 90					2002/03	N/A	
	H & F 100		Ealing 50					2001/02	N/A	
	Croydon 100			93	98	90	95			
	Barnet 100			/3	/0		/5			
	Harrow 100									
	Lambeth 100									
	Haringey 100									
	Westminster 100									
	Lewisham 98									

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		Δ	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	пізі	ORT	TRAVEL
		•	j	ENVIRONM	ENT & CULT	URE				
218A	PERCENTAGE OF I	NEW REPORTS OF A	BANDONED VEHICL	ES INVESTIGA	TED WITHIN 2	24 HRS OF NO	TICATION			
	Hounslow 100	K & C 94.70	Enfield 79.38					2004/05	NEW	N/A
	H & F 100	Waltham Forest 88.54	Ealing 75.32					2003/04	N/A	
	Barnet 98.91	Brent 83.88	Lambeth 67.14					2002/03	N/A	
	Camden 97.05	Harrow 81.50	Westminster 64.05	88.32	86.99	88.53	85.5	2001/02	N/A	
	Haringey 96		Croydon 61.00							
	Lewisham 95.05									
218B	PERCENTAGE OF A	ABANDONED VEHIC	LES REMOVED WITH	IIN 24 HRS FR	OM THE POIN	T AT WHICH T	HE LA IS LEGALLY	ENTITLED TO	O REMOVE	THE VEHICLE
	H & F 100	Enfield 92.92	Brent 71.28					2004/05	NEW	N/A
	Harrow 100	Haringey 92.50	Hounslow 61.70					2003/04	N/A	
	Barnet 98.54	Westminster 91.91	Ealing 29.14	81.35	78.54	82.1	75.07	2002/03	N/A	
	Camden 98.12	Lewisham 89.27	Lambeth 26.79	01.35	78.54	δ∠. Ι	75.07	2001/02	N/A	
		Waltham Forest 80.53	K & C 14.58							
		Croydon 78.82								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDIO PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
		1			ENT & CULT	_				
219A	TOTAL NUMBER OF	CONSERVATION A	REAS IN THE LA ARE	A (QUARTILI	RANKING DO	ES NOT APPL	Y)	1		
	Lambeth 60	Ealing 29	Brent 22					2004/05	NEW	N/A
	Westminster 54	Harrow 28	Barnet 18					2003/04	N/A	
	H & F 45	Haringey 28	Enfield 16	29.16	39.5	22.95	30	2002/03	N/A	
	Camden 39	Hounslow 27	Croydon 12					2001/02	N/A	
	K & C 36	Lewisham 25	Waltham Forest 11							
219B	PERCENTAGE OF C	ONSERVATION ARE	AS IN THE LA AREA	WITH AN UP-	TO-DATE CHA	RACTER APPRA	AISAL			
	Brent 100	H & F 16	Ealing 0.00					2004/05	NEW	N/A
	Hounslow 100	Barnet 11	Haringey 0.00					2003/04	N/A	
	Enfield 100	Harrow 11						2002/03	N/A	
	Westminster 50	Lambeth 6		42.24	27.9	33.96	34.2	2001/02	N/A	
	Croydon 33	K & C 5					5			
	Camden 33									
	Lewisham 28									
	Waltham Forest				_					

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		Δ	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
				ENVIRONMI	ENT & CULT	URE		•		•
219C	PERCENTAGE OF C	ONSERVATION ARE	EAS IN THE LA AREA	WITH PUBLIS	HED MANAGE	MENT PROPOS	ALS (QUARTILE R	ANKING DOE	S NOT API	PLY)
	Brent 100	Waltham Forest 18.18	Hounslow 0.00					2004/05	NEW	N/A
	K & C 91.99	Haringey 17.86	H & F 0.00					2003/04	N/A	
	Lewisham 36.00	Westminster 15.00	Barnet 0.00					2002/03	N/A	
	Croydon 33.33		Camden 0.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2001/02	N/A	
			Ealing 0.00	IV/A	N/A	IN/A	IV/A			
			Harrow 0.00							
			Lambeth 0.00							
			Enfield 0.00							
223	CONDITION OF PR	INCIPAL ROADS								
	Barnet 8.00	Harrow 13.00	Lambeth 16.64					2004/05	NEW	N/A
	Enfield 10.00	Waltham Forest 14.00	K & C 20.00					2003/04	N/A	
	Ealing 11.00	Haringey 15.00	H & F 20.20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2002/03	N/A	
	Lewisham 11.00	Brent 16.00	Camden 26.00					2001/02	N/A	
	Croydon 12.00	Hounslow 16.00	Westminster 26.08							

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	Пізі	ORT	TRAVEL
				ENVI RONMI	ENT & CULT	URE		I		
224A	CONDITION OF PR	INCIPAL ROADS								
	Harrow 4.00	Haringey 12.00	Hounslow 15.31					2004/05	NEW	N/A
	Enfield 6.00	Croydon 13.00	Lambeth 17.48					2003/04	N/A	
	Lewisham 10.00	K & C 14.00	Westminster 18.34	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2002/03	N/A	
	Barnet 10.00	Brent 15.00	Ealing 22.00					2001/02	N/A	
	Waltham Forest 11.00	H & F 15.10	Camden 27.27							
224B	CONDITION OF NO	N-PRINCIPAL ROAD	OS .	•						
	K & C 4.50	Haringey 11.34	Waltham Forest 24.79					2004/05	NEW	N/A
	Camden 5.65	Harrow 12.68	Hounslow 25.10					2003/04	N/A	
	Lambeth 5.80	Croydon 14.26	H & F 26.62	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2002/03	N/A	
	Ealing 6.17	Westminster 14.81	Brent 27.78					2001/02	N/A	
	Barnet 7.96	Lewisham 24.06	Enfield 28.29							

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
	1	1	FINA	NCE & CORI	PORATE RES	SOURCES				
8	PERCENTAGE OF II	NVOICES PAID WIT	HIN 30 DAYS							
	Croydon 95	Hounslow 89.1	Waltham Forest 84.69					2004/05	83.2	Ψ
	H & F 90.22	Haringey 88.54	Brent 82					2003/04	71.00	
		Lewisham 88.47	K & C 81.8					2002/03	73.00	
		Camden 88.1	Barnet 81.04	86.89	84.76	87.76	83.33	2001/02	72.2	
		Harrow 85.25	Enfield 79							
			Ealing 72.28							
			Westminster 82.99							
			Lambeth 61.5							
9	PERCENTAGE OF C	OUNCIL TAX COLLE	CTED							
	Harrow 97.05	Ealing 95.62	Haringey 93.35					2004/05	93.00	Ψ
	K & C 96	Enfield 95.4	Lambeth 93.1					2003/04	90.97	
		Camden 95.2	Waltham Forest 93					2002/03	90.97	
		Barnet 95.3	Brent 92.7	04.74	02.72	05.24	04.49	2001/02	91.07	
		H & F 95.3		94.74	93.73	95.24	94.68			
		Lewisham 94								
		Westminster 94.8	30							ļ
		Hounslow 94.6								-
		Croydon 93.9								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OIC I	TRAVEL
			FINA	NCE & CORI	PORATE RES	SOURCES				
10	PERCENTAGE OF N	NDR COLLECTED								
	Hounslow 99.8	Lewisham 98.92	Barnet 97.6					2004/05	98.00	^
	K & C 99.17	Camden 98.8	Harrow 97.43					2003/04	96.39	_
	H & F 99.20	Enfield 98.6	Croydon 96.7					2002/03	96.39	
	Haringey 98.98	Lambeth 98.33	Waltham Forest 96.1	98.26	98.43	98.26	98.28	2001/02	95.80	
		Brent 98.3								
		Westminster 98 20								
		Ealing 98.11								
76A	HOUSING BENEFIT	SECURITY: A) THE	NUMBER OF CLAIM	ANTS VISITED	PER 1,000 CA	ASELOAD (QU	ARTILE RANKING [OOES NOT A	PPLY)	
	Croydon 335	Enfield 227.51	Lambeth 186.98					2004/05	N/A	N/A
	Waltham Forest 327.26	Haringey 225.72	Barnet 169.18					2003/04	N/A	
	K & C 247	Lewisham 224	Hounslow 2.65	N/A	203.98	232.62	214	2002/03	N/A	
	Ealing 237.84	Brent 214	Westminster 3.1					2001/02	N/A	
	Harrow 232	H & F 188.95	Camden 0.97							
76B	HOUSING BENEFIT	SECURITY: B) NUM	BER OF FRAUD INVE	STIGATORS E	MPLOYED PER	1,000 CASEL	OAD (QUARTILE I	RANKING DO	ES NOT A	PPLY)
	H & F 0.44	Hounslow 0.30	Haringey 0.19					2004/05	0.33	N/A
	Enfield 0.34	Ealing 0.29	Croydon 0.19					2003/04	0.24	
	K & C 0.34	Camden 0.28	Waltham Forest 0.19	N/A	0.28	0.27	0.27	2002/03	New	
	Westminster 0.33	Brent 0.28	Lambeth 0.17					2001/02	N/A	
	Harrow 0.32	Lewisham 0.24	Barnet 0.17							

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE			TRAVEL
		•	FINAL	NCE & CORI	PORATE RES	SOURCES		•		•
76C	HOUSING BENEFIT	<u> </u>	MBER OF FRAUD INV	ESTIGATION:	S PER 1,000 C	<mark>ASELOAD (</mark> Q	UARTILE RANKING	DOES NOT	APPLY)	
	Camden 49	Westminster 23.02	Ealing 19.21					2004/05	14.96	N/A
	Lambeth 38.57	Enfield 23.03	K & C 17.96					2003/04	8.68	
	H & F 34.04	Hounslow 23.01	Brent 15.53	N/A	25.98	21.91	24.52	2002/03	New	
	Barnet 32.23	Croydon 22.6	Harrow 15.11					2001/02	N/A	
	Lewisham 25.25	Waltham Forest 21.25	Haringey 7.98							
76D	HOUSING BENEFIT	SECURITY: D) NUI	MBER OF PROSECUTI	ONS AND SAI	NCTIONS A8	95				
	K & C 9.5	Ealing 4.08	Hounslow 2.9					2004/05	1.9	N/A
	Lewisham 4.94	Camden 4.00	Croydon 2.44					2003/04	2.34	
	Waltham Forest 4.78	Barnet 3.92	Haringey 2.35	N/A	3.63	3.29	3.95	2002/03	N/A	
	Enfield 4.4	Westminster 3.65	Lambeth 2.16					2001/02	N/A	
	H & F 4.30	Harrow 3.65	Brent 2.16							
78A	AVERAGE TIME FO	R PROCESSING CO	UNCIL TENANTS HOL	JSING BENEFI	T/COUNCIL TA	AX BENEFIT IN	I DAYS: A) NEW CL	AIMS IN DA	YS	
	Harrow 22	Enfield 32.2	Westminster 44.00					2004/05	48.00	1
	Waltham Forest 26.1	Brent 35.9	Hounslow 45.4					2003/04	56.00	
	Croydon 27	Barnet 38	H & F 47.00	36.1	40.5	33.8	37.5	2002/03	85.56	
	K & C 29	Camden 41	Ealing 48.5					2001/02	65.00	
	Lewisham 31.4	Haringey 41.5	Lambeth 53.1							

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
			FINA	NCE & CORI	PORATE RES	SOURCES		•		
78B	AVERAGE TIME FO	R PROCESSING CO	UNCIL TENANTS HOU	JSING BENEFI	T/COUNCIL TA	AX BENEFIT IN	DAYS: B) CHANGE	IN CIRCUM	ISTANCES	IN DAYS
	Harrow 5	Enfield 13.4	Lambeth 25.3					2004/05	21.60	↑
	Lewisham 8.6	Barnet 19.4	Waltham Forest 27.1					2003/04	35.00	_
	K & C 10	Brent 20.7	Westminster 32.0	18.4	19.9	18	19.8	2002/03	29.30	
	Camden 11	Hounslow 23.7	Haringey 32.2					2001/02	29.11	
	Croydon 11	H & F 23.0	Ealing 34.5							
79A	PERCENTAGE OF	CASES FOR WHI	CH THE CALCULAT	ION OF THE	AMOUNT OF	BENEFIT DU	E WAS CORRECT			
	Westminster 99.60	Brent 98.4	Hounslow 96					2004/05	99.00	¥
	Barnet 99.4	Enfield 98.4	Haringey 95.6					2003/04	97.00	
	Harrow 99.2	Waltham Forest 98.2	Lambeth 90.4					2002/03	95.00	
	Camden 99	Lewisham 98		97.33	96.22	97.96	97.64	2001/02	99.80	
	Croydon 99	H & F 98.0								
		Ealing 97.8								
		K & C 97.6								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		_	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE		OIC I	TRAVEL
			FINA	NCE & COR	PORATE RES	SOURCES				•
79Bi	PERCENTAGE OF R	ECOVERABLE OVER	PAYMENTS (EXCLUD	ING COUNCIL	TAX BENEFIT	S) THAT WER	RE RECOVERED IN	THE YEAR		
	Camden 95.1	Croydon 71.1	Westminster 54.94					2004/05	39.00	1
	Lewisham 78.03	Waltham Forest 63.4	Haringey 54.38					2003/04	38.34	
	Barnet 72.33	K & C 61.8	Brent 54.34	63.46	66.34	61.6	60.76	2002/03	51.00	
		Harrow 60.13	H & F 52.90					2001/02	46.06	
		Enfield 56.4	Ealing 50.43							
		Lambeth 55.71	Hounslow 30.4							
79Bii		ECOVERABLE OVER	PAYMENTS RECOVER NG THE PERIOD	RED. OVERPA	YMENT DEBIT	OUTSTANDING	G AT THE START OF	THE PERIO	D PLUS TH	E AMOUNT OF
	Camden 44.66	Harrow 27.89	Ealing 19.19					2004/05	NEW	N/A
	Barnet 30.60	Haringey 23.31	Westminster 18.51					2003/04		
	Lewisham 29.18	Waltham Forest 23.28	H&F 17.30	25.06	24.21	25.62	21.77	2002/03		
		Brent 22.53	Enfield 16.27					2001/02		
		Croydon 19.80	K & C 14.60							
		Lambeth 19.47	Hounslow 14.43							

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDIO PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
		•	FINA	NCE & CORI	PORATE RES	SOURCES				
79Biii	PERCENTAGE OF R	ECOVERABLE OVER	PAYMENTS RECOVE	RED WRITTEN	OFF DURING	THE PERIOD	(QUARTILE RANK	ING DOES N	OT APPLY)	
	Brent 27.16	Lambeth 7.70	Barnet 4.00					2004/05	NEW	N/A
	Enfield 20.19	Camden 5.77	K & C 3.80					2003/04		
	Waltham Forest 9.57	Ealing 5.57	Harrow 2.01	6.76	5.70	7.39	7.65	2002/03		
	Croydon 9.20	Lewisham 4.85	Hounslow 1.31					2001/02		
	H&F 7.90	Haringey 4.44	Westminster 1.23							
156	THE PERCENTAGE	BUILDINGS WITH F	ACILITIES FOR PEOP	PLE WITH DIS	ABILITIES					
	Brent 80	Harrow 53	Lambeth 24.73					2004/05	77.00	1
	Enfield 74.55	Westminster 49.00	K & C 24					2003/04	45.00	_
	Croydon 71	Hounslow 46.94	Lewisham 21.5					2002/03	30.93	
		H & F 35.09	Camden 19	46.31	43.02	47.9	39.31	2001/02	23.30	
		Barnet 32	Ealing 3.01							
		Waltham Forest 28.41								
		Haringey 27.45								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDIC PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		_	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	"""		TRAVEL
			FINA	NCE & CORE	PORATE RES	OURCES				
157	TYPES OF INTERAC	TION DELIVERED E	ELECTRONICALLY							
	Brent 100	Croydon 99.7	Harrow 97					2004/05	92.00	1
	Ealing 100	Lewisham 99.3	H & F 94.98					2003/04	73.00	
	Lambeth 100	Enfield 98.54	Hounslow 82.89					2002/03	42.50	
	Haringey 100	Barnet 98.54		97.70	98.2	97.29	98.06	2001/02	30.00	
	Camden 100			97.70	98.2	97.29	98.06			
	Waltham Forest 100									
	Westminster 100									
	K & C 100									

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE			TRAVEL
			НС	USING & C	OMMUNITY	CARE				
53	HOUSEHOLDS REC		HOME CARE PER 1,0	000 POPULATI	ON AGED 65+	(QUARTILE	RANKING DOES N	OT APPLY)		
	Camden 29.33	Westminster 20.17	Waltham Forest 15.54					2004/05	16.73	N/A
	Lewisham 27.88	Harrow 18.58	Ealing 15.31					2003/04	15.34	
	H & F 26.81	Brent 16.56	K & C 15.12	N/A	26.48	15.89	19.04	2002/03	16.64	
	Haringey 22.59	Croydon 16.52	Enfield 14.34					2001/02	16.28	
	Lambeth 21.03	Hounslow 16.23	Barnet 9.61							
54	OLDER PEOPLE (AC	GED 65 OR OVER) H	ELPED TO LIVE AT H	IOME PER 1,0	00 POPULATIO	N AGED 65+				
	Haringey 155.84	Lambeth 110.11	Hounslow 83.04					2004/05	81.60	1
	H & F 139.91	Lewisham 97.57	Westminster 82.05					2003/04	89.80	
	Camden 129.73	Waltham Forest 91.10	Enfield 81.7	102.95	107.48	98.17	98.97	2002/03	70.00	
	Ealing 118.63	Brent 89.09	Harrow 80	102.93	107.40	70.17	70.77	2001/02	70.10	
		K & C 85.38	Croydon 75.53							
			Barnet 64.87							

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
			НС	OUSING & C	OMMUNITY	CARE				
56	PERCENTAGE OF 1	<mark>TEMS OF EQUIPMEN</mark>	NT DELIVERED WITH	IN 7 WORKIN	G DAYS	T	1	1		
	Croydon 96.73	Enfield 88	Brent 77					2004/05	86.00	lacksquare
	Waltham Forest 96.12	Haringey 86	Harrow 75.6]				2003/04	78.00	
	K & C 94.45	Camden 84.49	Lambeth 75					2002/03	79.40	
	H & F 93	Barnet 83.7		N/A	86	89	86.77	2001/02	79.00	
	Ealing 90.1	Hounslow 81.32								
	Westminster 90									
	Lewisham 90									
58	PERCENTAGE OF P	EOPLE RECEIVING	A STATEMENT OF TH	IEIR NEEDS AI	ND HOW THEY	WILL BE MET				
	Lambeth 100	K & C 96.7	Barnet 93.4					2004/05	96.00	1
	Lewisham 100	Camden 97.67	Harrow 93					2003/04	93.02	
	Enfield 98.42	Westminster 96.60	Waltham Forest 92.49	95.27	96.38	94.38	94.27	2002/03	89.00	
	Brent 98	H & F 96.48	Ealing 88.8	70.27	70.30	74.30	74.21	2001/02	72.62	
		Hounslow 96.3	Haringey 70.04							
		Croydon 96.12								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	BOROUGHS	INDIC PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change					
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFORMANCE HISTORY		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE			TRAVEL
			НС	OUSING & C	OMMUNITY	CARE				
63	THE AVERAGE SAP	RATING OF COUNC	CIL OWNED DWELLIN	IGS		T				
	Westminster 83	Camden 68	Harrow 64					2004/05	66.00	1
	Waltham Forest 73	Ealing 68	Lambeth 64					2003/04	54.00	
	Croydon 72	Hounslow 68	Enfield 64					2002/03	52.00	
		Barnet 68	H & F 62	,-			40	2001/02	49.00	
		K & C 68		67	68	67	68			
		Brent 67								
		Lewisham 67								
		Haringey 66								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDICATOR PROGRESS		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
		•	НС	OUSING & C	OMMUNITY	CARE				
64	THE NUMBER OF P	RIVATE DWELLING:	S RETURNED TO OCC	CUPATION		1	1	ı		
	Brent 718	Croydon 317	K & C 30					2004/05	669	1
	Ealing 526	Hounslow 313						2003/04	946	
	Enfield 512	H & F 225						2002/03	172	
	Waltham Forest 497	Lewisham 231		257	206	300	342	2001/02	Amended	
	Haringey 414	Camden 219								
	Harrow 405	Lambeth 192								
	Barnet 362	Westminster 175								
66A	LOCAL AUTHORITY	RENT COLLECTION	AND ARREARS: PRO	OPORTION OF	RENT COLLEC	TED				
	Brent 98.44	Haringey 97.37	Lewisham 95.17					2004/05	96.30	1
	Harrow 97.95	Hounslow 97.22	H & F 92.96					2003/04	96.30	
	Croydon 97.7	Camden 96.98	Waltham Forest 94.06					2002/03	96.30	
		K & C 96.52	Lambeth 89.4	96.24	94.93	97.06	95.93	2001/02	94.00	
		Barnet 96.43								
		Enfield 96.27								
		Westminster 96.21								
		Ealing 96.21								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
			НО	USING & C	OMMUNITY	CARE				
74A	TENANT SATISFA	ACTION: LANDLO	RDS: A) OVERALL	SATISFACTI	ON					
	Hounslow 80	Haringey 74	Lewisham 62					2004/05	76.00	1
	Ealing 79	Croydon 73	Westminster 63					2003/04	73.00	
	Harrow 79	Camden 67	Lambeth 57	69.86	61.7	73.76	70.85	2002/03	53.00	
	Brent 78	Waltham Forest 67	K & C not reported					2001/02	49.00	
	Barnet 78	Enfield 64	H & F not reported							
74B	TENANT SATISFAC	TION: LANDLORDS	: B) BLACK AND MIN	ORITY ETHNI	C TENANT					
	Ealing 80	Harrow 72	Lambeth 53					2004/05	78.00	
	Barnet 79	Haringey 71	K & C not reported					2003/04	74.00	
	Brent 78	Croydon 67	H & F not reported					2002/03	47.00	
	Hounslow 78	Westminster 60		65.00	56.5	70.29	67	2001/02	New	
		Camden 61		03.00	30.3	10.27	07			
		Enfield 59								
		Lewisham 59								
		Waltham Forest 58								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDICATOR PROGRESS		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR	RMANCE ORY	DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE		O.K.I	TRAVEL
			НО	USING & C	OMMUNITY	CARE				
74C	TENANT SATISFAC	TION: LANDLORDS	: C) NON BLACK AND	MINORITY E	THNIC TENAN	TS T	<u> </u>	ı		
	Hounslow 81	Haringey 75	Lewisham 64					2004/05	74	-
	Harrow 80	Croydon 75	Lambeth 61					2003/04	74	
	Ealing 78	Brent 74	K & C not reported					2002/03	New	
	Barnet 77	Waltham Forest 71	H & F not reported	71.32	64.8	74.53	72.15	2001/02	N/A	
		Camden 69								
		Westminster 67								
		Enfield 66								
75A			ICIL HOUSING WITH ORD BROKEN DOWN					DECISION	MAKING I	N RELATION TO
	Brent 74	Camden 58	Lambeth 47				-	2004/05	74	-
	Waltham Forest 74	Harrow 57	Lewisham 42					2003/04	75	
	Haringey 69	Hounslow 57	K & C not reported					2002/03	New	
	Croydon 66	Enfield 53	H & F not reported	56.64	50.8	60.82	60.46	2001/02	N/A	
	Barnet 65									
	Ealing 64									
	Westminster 60									

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS		CATOR GRESS	KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY		RMANCE FORY	DIRECTION OF
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	пізі	IORI	TRAVEL
	•				OMMUNITY					
75B			NCIL HOUSING WITH ORD BROKEN DOWN					D DECISION	I MAKING II	N RELATION TO
	Brent 71	Croydon 62	Lambeth 45					2004/05	71	-
	Waltham Forest 70	Camden 59	Lewisham 37					2003/04	71	
	Ealing 65	Harrow 57	K & C not reported	54.00	40.0	50.05	50.77	2002/03	New	
	Hounslow 65	Westminster 55	H & F not reported	54.82	49.2	59.35	58.77	2001/02	N/A	
	Haringey 64	Enfield 50								
	Barnet 64									
75C			OUNCIL HOUSING CES BY THEIR LAN							
	Brent 76	Westminster 62	Lambeth 49			.,		2004/05	76	-
	Waltham Forest 76	Ealing 61	Lewisham 45					2003/04	Amended	
	Haringey 71	Camden 57	K & C not reported	o-	50.4	(4.40	(4.00	2002/03	N/A	
	Croydon 67	Harrow 57	H & F not reported	57.25	52.1	61.12	61.23	2001/02 N/A	N/A	
	Barnet 65	Hounslow 56								
		Enfield 54								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDICATOR PROGRESS		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY		RMANCE FORY	DIRECTION OF
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	1110		TRAVEL
	ı				OMMUNITY	CARE				
183A	AVERAGE LENGTH	OF STAY IN B&B AG	CCOMMODATION IN	WEEKS			1	Г	ı	
	Haringey 0	Harrow 1	Camden 3.7					2004/05	Amended	N/A
	Enfield 0	Lambeth 2	Ealing 3.98					2003/04	12	
	Barnet 0	Westminster 2	Brent 4.8					2002/03	Amended	
	Waltham Forest 0	Hounslow 3		2.19	2.38	2.18	1.6	2001/02	N/A	
	K & C 0	Croydon 3								
	Lewisham 0									
	H & F 0.5									
183B	AVERAGE LENGTH	OF STAY IN HOSTE	L ACCOMMODATION	IN WEEKS						
	Croydon 0	Waltham Forest 6.84	Enfield 35.81					2004/05	Amended	N/A
	Westminster 0.33	Harrow 8.49	K & C 37					2003/04	28	
	H & F 0	Barnet 9.4	Lewisham 41.13	17.57	21.04	16.37	23.33	 	15	
		Lambeth 12	Camden 44.4	17.57	21.04	10.37	∠ა.აა	2001/02	Amended	
		Brent 14.63	Hounslow 56.65							
		Ealing 16.19	Haringey 67.41							

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDICATOR PROGRESS		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change	
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR	RMANCE FORY	DIRECTION OF	
	*		A	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL	
			НО	USING & C	OMMUNITY	CARE					
184A COUNCIL HOMES WHICH WERE NON-DECENT AT THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR											
	Westminster 19	Enfield 27	Barnet 59					2004/05	Amended	N/A	
	Croydon 20	Brent 28	Camden 60					2003/04	51		
	Hounslow 21	Lambeth 34	Waltham Forest 74					2002/03	Amended		
	H & F 23	K & C 36		42	42	41	40	2001/02	N/A		
		Ealing 40									
		Harrow 48									
		Haringey 50									
		Lewisham 52									
184B	PERCENTAGE CHAP	NGE IN PROPORTIO	N OF NON- DECENT	HOMES IN TH	E YEAR						
	Hounslow 94.1	K & C 16.3	Lambeth 6.4					2004/05	-40.2	lack	
	Brent 57	Croydon 15	Waltham Forest 6.3					2003/04	18	_	
	Westminster 50.8	Ealing 13.9	Camden 6.2					2002/03	Amended		
		Haringey 11.7	Lewisham 5.2	20.1	20.9	19.7	21.1	2001/02 N/A	N/A		
		Barnet 10.5	Enfield 4.4								
		H & F 9.7									
		Harrow 8.5									

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDICATOR PROGRESS		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OIC1	TRAVEL
	•		НО	USING & C	OMMUNITY	CARE				
195	% WAITING TIME	ACCEPTABLE FOR A	SSESSMENTS OF OL	DER PEOPLE		T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>		
	K & C 91	Westminster 86.9	Barnet 76.7					2004/05	59.00	1
	Hounslow 90	Waltham Forest 84.9	H & F 73.9					2003/04	66.10	
		Harrow 84.1	Brent 62.7					2002/03 New 2001/02 N/A	New	
		Ealing 82.2	Lambeth 61.6	80.8	78.3	81.3	78.3			
		Croydon 81.4	Haringey 59.3							
		Camden 80.9								
		Enfield 80.3								
		Lewisham 78.9								
196	% WAITING TIME	ACCEPTABLE FOR C	ARE PACKAGES FOR	OLDER PEOP	E					
	K & C 95.9	Croydon 91.6	Westminster 82.90					2004/05	63.00	1
	H & F 92.70	Hounslow 91.1	Barnet 82.4					2003/04	81.00	
	Camden 92.4	Brent 90.2	Haringey 79.8					2002/03	New	
			Ealing 79.4	87.2	91	84.7	86.9	2001/02 N/A	N/A	
		Waltham Forest 88 5	Harrow 71.5							
		Enfield 87.9								
		Lewisham 86.7								

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	INDICATOR PROGRESS		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	INNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	11131	OKI	TRAVEL
			НО	USING & C	OMMUNITY	CARE				
198	THE NUMBER OF PI	ROBLEM DRUG MIS	USERS IN TREATMEN	NT PER 1000 I	PEOPLE AGED	15 - 44 (QUA	RTILE RANKING DO	DES NOT API	PLY)	
	Haringey 197.18	H & F 64.87	Westminster 35.53					2004/05	16.4	N/A
	Camden 196.58	Hounslow 46.58	Ealing 33.42					2003/04	N/A	
	Enfield 144.38	Brent 46.56	Harrow 30.01	68.97	44.58	85.26	74.07		N/A	
	Lewisham 120.28	Waltham Forest 43.28	Barnet 29.28						N/A	
	Croydon 83.85	Lambeth 36.26	K & C 2.93							
201	ADULTS & OLDER F	PEOPLE RECEIVING	DIRECT PAYMENTS	PER 100,000	POPULATION A	AGED18+	•			
	Hounslow 153		Waltham Forest 69					2004/05	22	N/A
	Camden 126		Harrow 66					2003/04	N/A	
	H & F 108		Lewisham 50					2002/03	N/A	
	Croydon 99		Brent 48					2001/02	N/A	
	Ealing 98									
	Barnet 91			99	94	92	90			
	K & C 90									
	Haringey 89									
	Westminster 87									
	Lambeth 86									
	Enfield 85									

QU	ARTILE POSITION	I COMPARED WIT	H OUR AUDIT COM 2005 - 20		AMILY & NEI	GHBOURING	BOROUGHS	I NDI C PROG		KEY: ↑ improved since last year ↓ fallen since last year → no change
BVPI	BEST PERFORMING QUARTILE	MEDIAN RANGE	POOR PERFORMING QUARTILE	ALL LONDON AVERAGE	I NNER LONDON AVERAGE	OUTER LONDON AVERAGE	AUDIT COMMISSION & FAMILY	PERFOR HIST		DIRECTION OF
	*		<u> </u>	Data sou	rce: Audit Co	mmission	AVERAGE	ПЗ	ORT	TRAVEL
			НО	USING & C	OMMUNITY	CARE		•		
202	THE NUMBER OF P	EOPLE SLEEPING R	OUGH ON A SINGLE	NIGHT WITHI	N THE AREA C	F THE LA				I
	Lewisham 0	Enfield 3	Lambeth 7					2004/05	1	•
	Brent 1	Hounslow 3	Croydon 7					2003/04	N/A	
	Harrow 1	Waltham Forest 6	Barnet 10	10	21	2	17	2002/03	N/A	
	Haringey 1	H & F 6	K & C 10	10	21	3	17	2001/02	N/A	
	Ealing 1		Camden 12							
			Westminster 183							
203			PERAGE NUMBER OF REPORT THE HOMELESSNE	•					•	ED IN
	K & C -25.84	Camden -0.80	Haringey 7.36					2004/05	-2	1
	Croydon -15.00	Enfield 3.13	Ealing 8.70					2003/04	N/A	_
	Harrow -11.47	Barnet 4.12	Westminster 11.93	1.87	7.32	-0.87	2.69	2002/03	N/A	
	Brent -9.00	Waltham Forest 6.49	Hounslow 14.83					2001/02	N/A	
	H & F -2.98		Lambeth 21.3							
			Lewisham 27.6							